COUNTY TO CALL COLUMN CALL COLUMN CALL COLUMN CALL COLUMN CALL COLUMN CO

Todd Myers

Riegelsville, PA 18077

2008 JUL -7 A 10 54

MUR# 6034

July 1, 2008

Thomasenia Duncan, Esq General Counsel Federal Election Commission 999 E Street, N.W. Washington, D C 20463

Re: Complaint against Tom Manion, Tom Manion for Congress and Worth & Company, Inc.

Dear Ms. Duncan,

I write this letter to file a complaint with the Federal Election Commission against Tom Manion; Tom Manion for Congress and its treasurer, Susan Manion; and Worth & Company, Inc.

SUMMARY

The facts show that Worth & Company used its corporate resources to bundle contributions for Manion and his campaign. The company itself organized and hosted a March 25, 2008 fundraising event at its offices. Event materials and emails show that a Worth employee worked on the event. But there is no sign that Manion paid the company for her time, or for any of the other corporate facilities that were used. The Manion campaign's April Quarterly Report shows no payment to the company, even though the event occurred before the books closed for that report.

Corporate contributions and corporate bundling are illegal under federal law. This event seems to have been a concerted effort by the company to bundle contributions for Manion, make secret in-kind contributions to him, and buy influence with him. The Commission should immediately investigate this matter.

DISCUSSION

On March 25, 2008, a fundraising event for Manion for Congress was held at the offices of Worth & Company, Inc., in Pipersville, Pennsyvlania The invitations say, "Worth & Company cordially invites you to a champagne reception for Manion [for] Congress " The disclaimers say, "Paid for by Manion for Congress," although neither is in a printed box However, the "hard copy" invitations seem to have been sent using a corporate postage meter the indicia show the company's 18947 zip code, and not the campaign's 18901 zip code 1

Donors were told to RSVP to Sara Alexander, who seems to have been a subordinate corporate employee. The invitations asked donors to RSVP to her company email address and company phone number. In a March 18 email sent from a corporate account, she told three prospective donors, "Stephen cordially invites you to a reception for Tom Manion that Worth & Company, Inc. is hosting. "Stephen" appears to be Stephen Worth, the company's president and CEO See

http.//www.worthandcompany com/about history.htm. The Commission's donor database shows that Worth contributed \$2,300 to Manion on March 18, and \$2,200 on March 27.

The corporation must have collected and forwarded some contributions for the event: Ms. Alexander was the only contact person listed on the two invitations, the company's address was given, the Manion campaign's address was not given, and the event was held on company premises. And the event cannot have been limited to the company's restricted class Ms. Alexander's March 18 email invited local elected officials to attend, and the invitations say that "other business leaders from the greater Bucks County area" would attend

The public record shows no payment by the Manion campaign to the corporation for the use of its staff and facilities. Tellingly, Ms. Alexander was sending emails and collecting RSVPs for the event as early as March 18 Had the Manion campaign and the company complied with the law and made advance payment for her time, the report would have shown that payment.

The materials referred to in this complaint are attached as exhibits to this complaint

This is a classic case of corporate bundling. There is no question that Worth & Company facilitated the making of contributions. It said it was the host of the event, and invited the attendees. It sent the invitations in corporate envelopes. It used corporate staff to collect the RSVPs, and may have coerced them to do so. It used corporate postage and email to send invitations. There is every reason to think that the company handled and forwarded checks.

The record shows no payment from the Manion campaign for the use of corporate facilities. This means that the company must have made illegal, undisclosed corporate contributions. If the Manion campaign ever paid the company for the use of its staff, then it either did so untimely, or failed to disclose the payment as required by law. Even the disclaimer on the invitations failed to comply with the law. it was not contained in a printed box, and it falsely identified the payor, if the corporation indeed provided the postage to send them.

When the Manion campaign was first confronted with these facts by the press, it claimed that "a bill for \$5,613 submitted by the Worth company had since been paid " See Tom Infield, Fundraiser for Candidate Faulted, Philadelphia Inquirer, July 1, 2008, available at

http://www philly com/philly/news/local/22751794 html But the campaign "declined to say when it was paid," or whether it was been paid before the violations were publicized. This proves consciousness of guilt. Had the invoice been issued and paid before the event, as the law required, the campaign would have said so Had the invoice been issued before the violations became public, it would have been in the campaign's interests to say that, as well One can infer from these facts that the company never would have issued an invoice at all, if the violations had never been made public.

The Commission should immediately investigate these violations of the core provisions of federal campaign finance law. It should enjoin the campaign and the company from further violations, and seek the maximum fines permitted by law.

TODO E MISES O	
TODORMES O	
SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before I	me this 1 day of July, 2008
_/6	otary Public
/ No	otary Public
My Commission Expires	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNEYLVANIA NOTARIAL SEAL
/KAY 17, 2092	J Charyleen Struthers, Notary Public Perkasis Borough, Bucks County My communion expires May 17, 2012

Sincerely,



Tuesday, March 25, 2008 from 5:30 - 7:30 pm

Worth & Company, Inc 6263 Kellers Church Road Pipersville, PA 18947

Museum donation \$250 per persons

Please join Worth & Company, and other business leaders from the greater Bucks County area, to support Republican Condidate
Torn Manion This is an apportunity to get to know Yom personally and hear his position on important national leases

Total Marion comes to this Congressional race not as a career politicien, but as an everyday critism called to public service in extraordinary times. Total grow up in the Philadelphia area, second in our public school system, put hisself through college, served less country in the Marines on active and reserve duly for 30 years, and worked exceptibility in the private sector for many years.

After losing his son, Trave, in the war in hear, Tone loses his next commitment would be to serve his committy and his committy by addressing the issues that affect up all as Americans. Some of these leaves include

- · Eliminating wasteful spending in Congress
 - · Providing economic freedom by keeping taxes low
 - · Ending the war in Iraq while ensuring America's safety in the present and future
 - · Reducing dependence on foreign oil
 - · Protecting our environment for future generations

Help to bring a real inspiration to Washington, compone who can indeed be considered an everyday citizen, yet who is beyond everyday in his commitment to his femily, his governmenty, and his country







Fund-raiser for candidate faulted

By Tom Infield Inquirer Staff Writer July 1, 2008

On March 25, Worth & Co Inc hosted a political fund-raising event for Republican congressional candidate Tom Manion at its offices in Pipersville, Bucks County

A complaint letter mailed recently to the Federal Election Commission by a former mayor of Riegelsville alleged that the event amounted to an illegal campaign contribution to Manion, who is running against Democratic U S Rep Patrick Murphy in Philadelphia's northern suburbs

The FEC, however, has taken no action because the letter was improperly filed

Federal law bars corporations from making political contributions, in cash or services. So it was up to Manion to reimburse any spending by the Worth company, a plumbing and heating contractor that also hosted a political event by GOP presidential candidate Sen John McCain yesterday.

The invitation to the March event, which requested a minimum donation of \$250, said the event was paid for by Manion's campaign. But a report that Manion was required to file with the FEC in April shows that, as of March 30, he had not paid anything to cover Worth's expenses.

Jerry Morgan, Manion's campaign manager, said yesterday that a bill for \$5,613 submitted by the Worth company had since been paid. But he declined to say when it was paid ~ before or after the recent complaint letter. The next deadline for federal candidates to report their financial activities to the FEC is July 15.

In a letter dated June 19, former Riegelsville Mayor Todd Myers, a Republican, called the March 25 fund-raising event to the attention of the FEC Morgan said the campaign had not seen the letter

Myers said that the March 25 invitation was sent out in the Worth company's name and that it was evident a Worth employee had worked on the planning.

The FEC verified yesterday that it had received a letter of complaint against Manion But it said the writer had put down an illegible signature and failed to include an address or phone number. It said it therefore did not consider the complaint valid.

Myers' letter was brought to the attention of The Inquirer by the Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee

Myers, in an interview, confirmed he had written it Asked if any Democratic organization had helped him write it, he replied "No comment"

"I decided to do something about political reform, you've got to play by the rules," he said "That is the motivation behind the letter"

Two Worth company executives, in a telephone interview Friday, declined to discuss the March 25 event Tom Zipfel, the company's general counsel, did not respond to a request for comment yesterday

Morgan, while declining to say when the Worth bill was submitted or paid by the Manion campaign, said "I don't care when it was, we are in full compliance" with the law

But federal election law says a corporation may use its employees to work on a fundraising event only if it gets payment in advance

If it provides catering or food service, it also must receive advance payment. The March 25 event, Myers said in his complaint, was listed as a champagne reception.